LA-UR-16-29624

Proton and Ion Linear Accelerators

8. Low-Medium-High Energy Beam Transports (LEBT-MEBT-HEBT)

Yuri Batygin Los Alamos National Laboratory

U.S. Particle Accelerator School

June 7 - July 2, 2021



LEBT Functions and Requirements

LEBT Purposes:

- Extraction and low energy acceleration of the beam
- Match beam out of the ion source to the transport channel
- Dispose of electrons emitted along with the ions
- Match beam into the RF Accelerator (RFQ)
- Provide beam diagnostics and test facilities
- Provide fast switching (chopping) before the RF Accelerator to introduce time structure of the beam

Beam Physics Issues:

- Electrostatic vs magnetostatic LEBT
- Minimization of emittance growth
- Beam space charge compensation
- Short neutralization time



Space Charge Effects in the Extraction Region of Particle Sources: Child-Langmuir Law

2.5.2

Planar Diode with Space Charge (Child-Langmuir Law)

Let us now include the effect of the space charge of the electron current in the diode on the potential distribution and electron motion. To simplify our analysis, we assume that all electrons are launched with initial velocity $\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$ from the cathode (i.e., they are moving on straight lines in the *x*-direction). This is an example of *laminar flow* where electron trajectories do not cross and the current density is uniform. We try to find the steady-state solution $(\partial/\partial t = 0)$ in a self-consistent form. The electrostatic potential is determined from the space-charge density ρ via Poisson's equation, with $\phi = 0$, at x = 0 and $\phi = V_0$ at x = d, as in the previous case. The relationship between ρ , the current density **J**, and the electron velocity **v** follows from the continuity equation ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$ or $\mathbf{J} = \rho \mathbf{v} = \text{const}$). The velocity in turn depends on the potential ϕ and is found by integrating the equation of motion. Thus we have the following three equations:

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \quad \text{(Poisson's equation)}, \tag{2.129}$$

$$J_{\chi} = \rho \dot{x} = \text{const}$$
 (continuity equation), (2.130)

$$\frac{m}{2}\dot{x}^2 = e\phi(x) \quad \text{(equation of motion)}. \tag{2.131}$$

*From M.Reiser, Theory and Design of Charged Particle Beams, Wiley, 1994



Space Charge Effects in the Extraction Region of Particle Sources: Child-Langmuir Law (Con.)

Substituting $\dot{x} = [2e\phi(x)/m]^{1/2}$ from (2.131) into (2.130) and $\rho = J_x/\dot{x}$ from (2.130) into (2.129) yields

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} = \frac{J}{\epsilon_0 (2e/m)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(\phi)^{1/2}},$$
(2.132)

where the current density $J = -J_x$ is defined as a positive quantity. After multiplication of both sides of Equation (2.132) with $d\phi/dx$, we can integrate and obtain

$$\left(\frac{d\phi}{dx}\right)^2 = \frac{4J}{\epsilon_0 (2e/m)^{1/2}} \phi^{1/2} + C.$$
(2.133)

Now $\phi = 0$ at x = 0, and if we consider the special case where $d\phi/dx = 0$ at x = 0, we obtain C = 0. A second integration then yields (with $\phi = V_0$ at x = d)

$$\frac{4}{3}\phi^{3/4} = 2\left(\frac{J}{\epsilon_0}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2e}{m}\right)^{-1/4} x.$$

or

$$\phi(x) = V_0 \left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^{4/3}.$$
(2.134)

with the relation

$$J = \frac{4}{9}\epsilon_0 \left(\frac{2e}{m}\right)^{1/2} \frac{V_0^{3/2}}{d^2}.$$
 (2.135)



Current-Voltage Curve



Current-voltage relation at constant cathode temperature (from S.Isagawa, Joint Accelerator School, 1996).



In ion sources, the shape of plasma meniscus is determined by the balance between plasma pressure and applied electrostatic voltage for ion extraction.

To determine shape of plasma memiscus, let us consider self-consistent problem for the beam extracted from spherical emitter of radius R_1 (plasma) and spherical collector of radius R_2 ($R_2 < R_1$). Saturated current density extracted from the plasma

$$j = n_i e \sqrt{\frac{kT_e}{m_i}}$$



Ion Source Beam Current



Beam current of Los Alamos duoplasmatron proton ion source at extraction voltage U_{ext} = 27 kV as a function of source arc current: (solid) H⁺ beam current, (dashed) total H⁺/H₂⁺/H₃⁺ current.



We will assume that all particle have the same extracted velocities, so the current density is $j = \rho v_r$ and particle velocity is

$$v_r = \sqrt{\frac{2qU}{m}}$$

where U is the potential between two spheres. Therefore, beam space charge density is





Let us substitute space charge density into Poisson's equation in spherical coordinates:

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{dU}{dr}\right) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_o}\frac{j}{\sqrt{\frac{2qU}{m}}}$$

Solution of Poisson's equation for concentric spheres is

$$\frac{j}{U^{3/2}} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{9} \varepsilon_o \sqrt{\frac{q}{m}} \frac{1}{R_1^2 \alpha^2}$$

 $Y = \ln \frac{R_2}{R_1}$

where

$$\alpha = Y - 0.3Y^2 + 0.075Y^3,$$



This is the Child-Langmuir law for spherical surfaces. When the distance between emitter and collector is much smaller than the raduses $d = R_1 - R_2 << R_1$, the following approximations can be used:

$$Y = \ln(\frac{R_1 - d}{R_1}) \approx -\frac{d}{R_1} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{R_1^2})^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{R_1^2})^3$$
$$\frac{1}{R_1^2 \alpha^2} \approx \frac{1}{d^2}(1 - 1.6\frac{d}{R_1})$$

With this approximation, Child-Langmuir law is expressed as

$$\frac{j}{U^{3/2}} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{9} \varepsilon_o \sqrt{\frac{q}{m}} \frac{1}{d^2} (1 - 1.6\frac{d}{R_1})$$



Let us apply now obtained result to the problem of plasma beam extraction from small extraction hole of the radius r_1 . From Fig the relationship between extraction radius r_1 and radius R_1 is

$$R_1 = -\frac{r_1}{\sin\theta} \approx -\frac{r_1}{\theta}$$

where θ is the angle of ion emitting surface (plasma meniscus). Negative values of θ correspond to convergence of the beam (concave meniscus).



Scheme of simplified ion optics in beam extraction region (J.R.Coupland et al., Rev. Sci. Instruments, Vol. 44, No 9, (1973), p.1258.



 $j = \frac{I}{\pi r_1^2}$

Beam current density

Substitution of expression for beam current density into Child-Langmuir law reads:

$$\frac{I}{U^{3/2}} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi}{9} \varepsilon_o \sqrt{\frac{q}{m}} (\frac{r_1}{d})^2 (1+1.6\frac{d}{r_1}\theta)$$
$$P_b = \frac{I}{U^{3/2}}$$

Beam perveance:

Child-Langmuir perveance of one dimensional diode

$$P_o = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi}{9}\varepsilon_o\sqrt{\frac{q}{m}}(\frac{r_1}{d})^2$$

Extracted beam slope (plasma meniscus):

$$\theta = 0.625 \frac{r_1}{d} (\frac{P_b}{P_0} - 1)$$

If $P_b \ll P_o$, it corresponds to the extracted beam with negligible intensity, and initial convergence of the beam is defined by extraction geometry only

$$\theta = -0.625 \frac{r_1}{d}$$



According to Child-Langmuir law, the potential inside extraction gap has the following z-dependence:

$$U(z) = U_{ext} \left(\frac{z}{d_{ext}}\right)^{4/3}$$

Inside extraction gap particles move in the field, which, in the first approximation, has only longitudinal component

$$E_{z} = \frac{4}{3} U_{ext} \frac{z^{1/3}}{d_{ext}^{4/3}}$$

Outside extraction gap the field drops to zero.



Beam Defocusing in Extraction Gap



Extraction gap showing defocusing effect (S.Humphries, 1999).



Beam Defocusing in Extraction Gap (cont.)

Due to equation

div
$$\vec{E} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r E_r) + \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z} = 0$$

any change in longitudinal field results in appearance of transverse field component, which (in this case) defocuses beam:

$$E_{\rm r} = -\frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial E_{\rm z}}{\partial z} r' dr' \approx -\frac{r}{2} \frac{\partial E_{\rm z}}{\partial z}$$

Equation of particle motion:

$$\frac{d^2r}{dz^2} = -\frac{q}{mv_z^2}r\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}$$

Slope of particle trajectory at the exit of the gap:

$$\psi = \Delta(\frac{dr}{dz}) = -\frac{q}{2mv_z^2} r \int \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z} dz = \frac{q}{2mv_z^2} r E_z = \frac{rE_z}{4U_{ext}} = \frac{r}{3d}$$



Beam Defocusing in Extraction Gap (cont.)

 $P_{h} = 0.47 P_{o}$

Finally, divergence of the extracted beam is as follows:

$$\omega = \left|\theta + \psi\right| = \left|0.625 \frac{r_1}{d} \left(\frac{P_b}{P_0} - 1\right) + \frac{r_1}{3d}\right| = \left|0.29 \frac{r_1}{d} \left(1 - 2.14 \frac{P_b}{P_0}\right)\right|$$

Condition for extracted beam with zero divergence

Ratio of beam perveance to Chald-Langmuir η perveance (matching parameter) is used to characterize conditions for the best extracted beam quality

$$\eta = \frac{P_b}{P_o} = \frac{9}{\sqrt{2}S^2} \frac{I}{I_c} \left(\frac{mc^2}{qU_{ext}}\right)^{3/2}$$

Aspect ratio:

$$S = \frac{r_1}{d}$$



Nonlinear Effects in Beam Optics (Lejeune, 1983)







FIG. 24. Schematic illustration of the mechanism that produces an S-shaped emittance pattern for the beam emergent from an extraction optics if the radius of curvature of the plasma meniscus is not uniform. In the upper part of the figure are shown particular trajectories normally emitted from the rim of the plasma meniscus, where the radius of curvature varies strongly. In the lower diagrams the evolution of the beam emittance pattern is shown for several meaningful cross sections: (a) in the emitter section if the plasma meniscus is planar and without transverse thermal spread, (b) after the action of the concave plasma meniscus, (c) in the entrance plane of the extraction lens, (d) in the exit plane of this lens, and (e) in the probing section after a drift.



Nonlinear Optics: Simulations (Whealton et. al, 1980)

244

CLAUDE LEJEUNE



FIG. 11. Equipotential contours and ion trajectories in the region of the classical sheath edge Σ of a triode extraction optics. They are obtained from the ORNL nonlinear theory and code C (see text) (courtesy of Whealton and Whitson, 1980, and Gordon and Breach). The emissive surface (S') equivalent to the plasma is represented by the linear line on the left of the figure. The leftmost potential contour is halfway between the plasma and the screen potential and is illustrative of the position of the classical sheath edge Σ . The second contour just to the right of this is at the screen potential, and the third is at four times the difference between the plasma and the screen.



FIG. 4. Ion trajectories and potential distributions for a converged solution to Eqs. (9)-(12) showing the source-plasma sheath and nonlinear aberrrations near the plasma electrode: 5 = 0.75, $\Gamma = 4$, and $j = 0.45j_0$.



FIG. 5. Emittance diagram showing the slope and the position of exiting orbits for the case shown in Fig. 4.



Experimental Analysis of Extracted Beam Emittance (J.Aubert et al, 1983)



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021/P

Figure 3. Beam-plasma interface for different values of the dimensionless parameter Π/P (a) and corresponding emittance contours (b). The intensity of the argon beam is constant (2 mA); the variation of Π/P is obtained from the variation of the accel voltage. (c) Fractional normalised emittance enclosed within equidensity contours and emittance of the circumscribing ellipse as functions of Π/P . The equidensity contours are defined by the quoted percentage of the maximum density. 19

001 (mrad)

θ

r(mm

(b)

0.68

0.48

0.26

TT/P= 1.35

LANL Proton Beam Brightness as a Function of Matching Parameter



Y.B. et al, Rev. Sci. Instr., 85, 103301 (2014)



LANL Proton Beam Emittance versus Beam Intensity



Horizontal beam emittance scans at extraction voltage of $U_{ext} = 27$ kV for different H⁺ beam intensities.



Optimal Conditions for Proton Beam Extraction



Extraction voltage versus H+ beam current for maximizing beam brightness. Dots: experimental results.



Repeller Electrode: Three Electrode Extraction

•Extraction electric field is attractive for neutralizing particles resulting in beam decompensation

• Repelling electrode (trapping electrode) is inserted upstream of the extraction electrode. This electrode creates a potential barrier to keep the neutralizing particles within the beam by preventing them to be attracted toward the ion source.



Three electrode beam extraction system (B.Piosczyk, FZ Karlsruhe).



Four-Electrode Extraction System





Four-Electrode Extraction System



Layout of ion source and extraction system. Duoplasmatron source is on the left. Extraction electrodes are in the center and the 1st LEBT solenoid is on the right. Pumps are above and below electrodes.



Divergence of the Beam in 4-Electrode Extractor (J.Kim et al, J.Appl. Physics, 49(2) (1978), p.517)



FIG. 1. Schematic of a two-stage four-electrode accelerating column with definitions of some relevant parameters and no-menclature.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= 0.62S \bigg[\frac{P}{P_0} - 0.40 \bigg(\frac{a_2}{a_1} \bigg) \frac{\Gamma^2}{f(1+\Gamma)} + 0.53 \bigg(\frac{a_2}{a_1} \bigg) - 1 \bigg] \\ &+ 0.31S \bigg(\frac{P}{P_0} \bigg) \bigg[1 + \bigg(\frac{t_1}{t_2} \bigg) + 0.35 \bigg(\frac{a_1}{a_2} \bigg) \\ &\times \bigg(f + \frac{z_3 + t_2 + t_3}{z_1} \bigg) (1 + 0.50\Gamma)^{-t_*5} \bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

LOS AIGMOS

where *P* is the perveance in the extraction gap, $P = I/V_{\text{ext}}^{3/2}$ and P_0 is the Child-Langmiur⁷ space-chargelimited perveance for the one-dimensional diode of length z_1 with no electrons, $P_0 = (\frac{4}{2}\pi)(a_1^2/z_1^2)\epsilon_0(2e/M)^{1/2}$, where ϵ_0 is the permittivity of the vacuum.

Parameters of High-Intensity LEBT

| Location | Particle, Energy | Туре | Beam current, mA | Rms beam emittance (π mm mrad) | Chopper |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| SNS | H ⁻ , 65 keV | 2 Einzel lenses | 35 | 0.22/0.18 | 40 kV Electrode chopper |
| J-Park | H ⁻ , 50 keV | 2 Solenoids | 35 | 0.22 | Induction cavity |
| BNL | H ⁻ , 35 keV | 2 Solenoids | 100 | 0.4 | |
| FNAL | H ⁻ , 35 keV | 2 Solenoids | 45 | 0.3 | Einzel lens chopper |
| LANSCE | H ⁻ , 80 keV | 2 solenoids | 17 | 0.2 | Electrostatic deflector |
| CERN Linac4 | H ⁻ , 45 keV | 2 solenoids | 40 | 0.25 | Electrostatic deflector |
| JHF 1996 | H ⁻ , 50 keV | 2 solenoids | 16 | 0.1 | |
| ISIS | H ⁻ , 65 keV | 3 solenoids | 60 | 0.55 | |
| ESS | H+, 75 keV | 2 solenoids | 55 | 0.2 | |



Electrostatic LEBT

Pro:

- no transient time for space charge compensation
- no repelling electrode for the neutralizing particle trapping is needed- design of electrostatic LEBTs are simplified
- the beam lines are compact, which tends to minimize the beam losses by charge exchange

Con:

- no space charge compensation (neutralizing particles are attracted or re- pulsed by the electric field induced by the focusing elements).
- vulnerable to beam losses that can lead to high voltage breakdowns and beam trips
- Einzel lenses intrinsically induce optical aberrations that creates beam halo and emittance growth
- Electrostatic LEBTs are intensity limited. Beam divergence and size will increase rapidly with its intensity (especially for current of several tens of mA). Its seems difficult to operate the LEBT with a higher current than the design current without expecting beam losses or dramatic emittance growth.



SNS LEBT and Parameters of the Beam



Figure 1. The 9-m long SNS front-end beamline.



SNS Injector Beam Parameters



FIG. 5. (Color online) Emittance and twiss parameters dependence on the beam intensity.

IG. 8. (Color online) Evolution of the emittance and twiss parameters over ie 1.0 ms beam pulse duration.



SNS Injector Beam Parameters



FIG. 7. (Color online) Emittance dependences on (a) e-dump voltage with the extractor at ground and (b) extractor voltage with the e-dump set at -58.8 kV.



Magnetostatic LEBT

Pro:

- beam is neutralized by the ionization on the residual gas
- smaller emittance growth due to nonlinear space charge forces than that in electrostatic LEBT
- beam emittance can be improved with a higher pressure in the beam line
- for positive ion beam, an additional source of neutralizing particles exists: secondary electrons are produced when a beam hits the beam pipes
- magnetic lenses have less spherical aberrations than electrostatic lenses with the same focal length

Con:

- In a magnetic LEBT the rise time of the pulsed beams is dominated by the space charge compensation transient time (several tens of μ s)
- a fast chopping system have to be inserted to reach a rise time in the order of the hundreds of ns.



Layout of New LANSCE 35 keV H⁺ Injector





80 keV H⁻ LANSCE Beam Transport



Interaction of the Beam with Residual Gas

Due to presenece of residual gas atoms or molecluse in transport channel, there is a constant interaction of beam particles with atoms or molecules of residual gas. Particle-residual gas interaction processes can be divided by elastic (single and multiple Coulomb scattering) and inelastic processes (ionization, electron capture, electron lost) where projectile particle loses it's energy.

Every process is characterized by cross section (similar to geometrical cross section of interacting particles) which depend on type of interacting particles and energy of incoming particles.





Cross Section

Cross section of the process is the ratio of rate of event per unit time $\frac{dN}{Ndt}$ to flux density of interacting particles $n_g v$, where n_g is the density and v is velocity:

$$\sigma = \frac{dN}{Ndt} \frac{1}{n_g v}$$

Particularly, losses of beam current *I* in the target of thickness *dz* with density of n_g atoms per m³ are proportional to *I*, n_g , and *dz*:



On definition of cross section.


Ionization of Residual Gas

Important phenomenon of low-energy beam interaction with residual gas is ionization of residual gas resulting in creation of electron-ion pairs, which neutralize space charge of primary beam.

Time required for ionization of residual gas by the incoming beam with velocity of βc

 n_s is the density of scattering gas centers, σ_i is the ionization cross section.

Number of molecules per unit volume at pressure *p* and temperature *T* determined from the ideal gas law:

Boltzman constant

Density of scattering centers for residual gas containing 2 atoms per molecule (H_2)

$$\tau_N = \frac{1}{n_s \sigma_i \beta c}$$

$$n_g = \frac{p}{kT}$$

$$k = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$$

 $n_s = 2n_g$



Ionization Cross Section: Thomson Model

Direct ionization is a results of the interaction of an incident particle having energy ε with a valence electron of neutral atom or molecule. Ionization happens when the energy transferred to the valence electron exceeds the ionization potential *I*. The process definitely includes quantum effects, but can be estimated from simple classical Thomson model of the atom. Ionization cross section (Thomson formula):

$$\sigma_{\rm i} = \frac{1}{(4\pi\varepsilon_0)^2} \frac{\pi e^4}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{I} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

Thomson formula in general case should be multiplied by number of valence electrons Z_v . When $\varepsilon = 2I$, the Thomson cross section has a maximum:

$$\sigma_o = \frac{Z_v}{\left(4\pi\varepsilon_o\right)^2} \frac{\pi e^4}{4I^2}$$

Maximum cross section is close to geometrical atomic cross section: for molecular nitrogen $\sigma_o = 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2$, for argon $\sigma_o = 3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2$.



Ionization Cross Section: Thomson Model (cont.)





Ionization Cross Sections of H⁺ on Different Gases



FIG. 13. Cross sections for ejection of electrons in $H^+ + H_2$ collisions. The solid curve is the recommended fit. Experimen-







FIG. 14. Cross sections for ejection of electrons in $H^+ + N_2$ collisions. The solid curve is the recommended fit. Experimen-



FIG. 11. Cross sections for ejection of electrons in $H^+ + Xe$ collisions. The solid curve is the recommended fit. Experimental data: \triangle , Fedorenko *et al.* (1960); \bigotimes , Toburen (1974).

40

Space Charge Neutralization: 80 keV H⁻ Beam in H₂ Residual Gas with Pressure of P = 3.5 x 10⁻⁶ Torr



Density of H₂ molecules under the pressure of $p = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-6} Torr$ (4.6 · 10⁻⁴ Pascal)

$$n_g = \frac{p}{kT} = 1.1 \cdot 10^{17} \, \frac{1}{m^3}$$

Ionization Cross Section for 80 keV $\sigma_i = 2.1 \cdot 10^{-20} m^2$

Neutralization time

$$\tau_N = \frac{1}{2n_g \sigma_i \beta c} = 57 \,\mu s$$

Ionization cross-section of H₂ by H⁻ (red, Ref. [1]) and H⁺ (blue, Ref [2]) collisions. Space charge neutralization factor is determined by a ratio of effective beam current to full beam current:



Ya.M.Fogel, A.G.Koval, Y.Z.Levchenko, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 38, 1053 (1960) [Sov. Phys. JETP 11, 760 (1960)].
M.E.Rudd, Y.-K.Kim, D.H.Madison, J.W.Gallagher, Reviews of Modern Physics, 57, No.4, 965 (1985).

Space charge neutralization dependence on time





Measured Space Charge Neutralization of H⁻ Beam within Pulse Length (H₂ Residual Gas with Pressure of P = 3.5×10^{-6} Torr)



Space charge neutralization of 80 keV H⁻ beam as a function of beam pulse length.

Space charge neutralization of 35 keV H⁻ beam as a function of beam pulse length.



Effect of Space Charge Neutralization on Beam Parameters



Variation of 80 keV H- beam parameters during pulse length.



Effect of the Beam Space Charge Neutralization in Residual Gas on 750 keV H⁻ Beam Parameters

(Left)Measured vertical beam emittance at TBEM3 and (right) BEAMPATH simulations at different values of beam pulse length (simulations performed with current I = 15 mA for t = 50-100 ms and with current I = 0 for $\tau \ge 150$ µs.)





750 keV H⁻ Beam Space Charge Neutralization on Residual Gas



Layout of 750-keV H⁻ Low Energy Beam Transport of LANSCE

Space charge neutralization of 750 keV H⁻ beam as a function of pulse length along the channel . Layout of 750keV H⁻ Low Energy Beam Transport of LANSCE.



Low Pressure (Vacuum) Measuring Devices



Tubulated hot-cathode ionization gauge.

Electrons emitted from the filament move several times in back and forth movements around the grid before finally entering the grid. During these movements, some electrons collide with a gaseous molecule to form a pair of an ion and an electron. The number of these ions is proportional to the gaseous molecule density multiplied by the electron current emitted from the filament, and these ions pour into the collector to form an ion current. Since the gaseous molecule density is proportional to the pressure, the pressure is estimated by measuring the ion current.

Important parameter of IGs is sensitivity which indicate that each gas component contributes to total pressure with certain weight:

$$S = \frac{p_{gauge}}{p_{true}}$$

IG is usually calibrated with sensitivity S=1 for N_2 .

| Table Gas Sensitivity (T.Anderson, Accelerator Vacu | um 101) |
|---|---------|
|---|---------|

| Gas | H2 | CH4 | H2O | CO | N2 | C2H6 | O2 | Ar | C3H6 | CO2 |
|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|
| Sens | 0.46 | 1.4 | 1.12 | 1.05 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1.01 | 1.29 | 3.6 | 1.4 |



Residual Gas Analyzer





Residual Gas Spectrum

Analog Scan





Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Pressure Units

| V·T·E | Pascal | Bar | Technical atmosphere | Standard atmosphere | Torr | Pounds per square inch | |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | (Pa) | (bar) | (at) | (atm) | (Torr) | (psi) | |
| 1 Pa | = 1 N/m ² | 10 ⁻⁵ | 1.0197×10 ⁻⁵ | 9.8692×10 ⁻⁶ | 7.5006×10 ⁻³ | 1.450377×10 ⁻⁴ | |
| 1 bar | 10 ⁵ | = 10 ⁶ dyn/cm ² | 1.0197 | 0.98692 | 750.06 | 14.50377 | |
| 1 at | 0.980665 ×10 ⁵ | 0.980665 | = 1 kp/cm ² | 0.9678411 | 735.5592 | 14.22334 | |
| 1 atm | 1.01325 ×10 ⁵ | 1.01325 | 1.0332 | = <i>p</i> ₀ | = 760 | 14.69595 | |
| 1 Torr | 133.3224 | 1.333224×10 ⁻³ | 1.359551×10 ^{−3} | 1.315789×10 ⁻³ | ≈ 1 mm _{Hg} | 1.933678×10 ⁻² | |
| 1 psi | 6.8948×10 ³ | 6.8948×10 ⁻² | 7.03069×10 ⁻² | 6.8046×10 ⁻² | 51.71493 | = 1 lb _p /in ² | |

Pressure units



Neutralization Time (µs) for 35 keV H⁺ Beam in Different Gases

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{\sigma_i n_{gas} v}$$

| Gas | Ionization | 10 ⁻⁵ Torr |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Cross Section | |
| | (10 ⁻²⁰ m ²) | |
| H ₂ | 1.67 | 36 |
| He | 0.494 | 243 |
| Ne | 1.09 | 110.3 |
| N ₂ | 5.0 | 11.9 |
| O ₂ | 4.41 | 13.6 |
| Ar | 5.11 | 23.5 |
| Kr | 7.24 | 16.6 |
| Xe | 11.9 | 10.1 |
| СО | 5.78 | 20.8 |



Improvement of 66 mA 95 keV H⁺ SILHI Source Beam Emittance by Heavy Gas Injection in LEBT (Rev. Sci. Instr., 71, 3, p. 1413, 2000)



FIG. 2. ε rms norm=0.33 π mm mrad for a 66 mA 95 keV H⁺ beam $(P_{\text{real}}=4.3\times10^{-5} \text{ Torr})$.



FIG. 3. ε rms norm=0.11 π mm mrad (r,r') for a 66 mA 95 keV H⁺ beam with Kr injection $(P_{real}=5.2\times10^{-5} \text{ Torr})$.



Cross Section for Stripping H⁻ in Different Gases (Atomic Data for Controlled Fusion Research, ORNL-5206)

Single stripping cross-section $\sigma_{\text{-10}}$

of 750 keV H- in different gases

| Gas | Cross section, | | |
|-------|--------------------|--|--|
| | cm ² | | |
| H_2 | $7 \cdot 10^{-17}$ | | |
| He | $5 \cdot 10^{-17}$ | | |
| N_2 | $3 \cdot 10^{-16}$ | | |
| 02 | $4 \cdot 10^{-16}$ | | |





750 keV H⁻ Beam Performance Under Different Vacuum Conditions



Beam transmission through LEBT as a function of vacuum conditions:

(1)4.6e-07 Torr, (2)7.4e-06 Torr,

(3)1.3e-05 Torr,

(4)4.9e-05 Torr.

TDEM1 emittance scan with (left) nominal vacuum of 7e-07 Torr and (right) with vacuum of 3e-05 Torr.



Design of Magnetostatic LEBT



Beam Current Io

Space charge neutralization factor η Effective beam current $I = I_o(1-\eta)$ Unnormalized beam emittance \Im Initial envelope parameters R_s, R_s' Final envelope parameters R_f, R_f' Distance between solenoids L

<u>To Be Determined:</u> Solenoid Geometry and Fields Distances d₁, d₂

Y.B. et al, NIM-A 753 (2014) 1-8





Minimization of Beam Size in LEBT

Consider beam with negligible current, but with finite value of beam emittance (emittance-dominated beam). Evolution of beam radius R along drift space z between solenoids as a function of initial radius R_o and slope of the envelope R_o is given by integration of envelope equation assuming I = 0:

$$\frac{R}{R_o} = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{R'_o}{R_o}z\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im}{R_o^2}\right)^2 z^2}$$
(5.1)

From the symmetry point of view it is clear, that matched beam has a minimum size, or waist, $R_{min} = R_o$ in the middle point of the drift space between lenses, and maximum size R_{max} inside focusing elements. At the waist point, $R_o^{'} = 0$. Therefore from Eq. (5.1)

$$R_{\max}^{2} = R_{\min}^{2} + \left(\frac{\Im L}{2R_{\min}}\right)^{2}$$
(5.2)

Equation $\partial R_{\text{max}} / \partial R_{\text{min}} = 0$ determines minimal value of R_{max} as a function of beam emittance and distance between lenses

$$\frac{\partial R_{\max}}{\partial R_{\min}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{\min}^2 + (\frac{\Im L}{2R_{\min}})^2}} [R_{\min} - \frac{1}{R_{\min}^3} (\frac{\Im L}{2})^2] = 0$$
(5.3)



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Minimization of Beam Size in LEBT (Con.)

Solution of Eq. (5.3) is
$$R_{\min}(0) = \sqrt{\frac{\Im L}{2}} \qquad R_{\max}(0) = \sqrt{\Im L}$$
 (5.4)

which coincides with periodic solution of matched beam with zero current at phase advance of $\mu_o \approx \pi / 2$. Eq. (5.4) determines the minimum value of R_{max} at given value of beam emittance and given distance between solenoids *L*.

Consider now space-charge dominated regime, where beam emittance is negligible. Analysis of beam dynamics in drift space determines the condition for transporting beam with maximum current through drift space restricted by aperture R_{max} and distance *L*:

$$R_{\rm max} = \frac{L}{1.082} \sqrt{\frac{I}{I_c (\beta \gamma)^3}} , \qquad R_{\rm min} = \frac{R_{\rm max}}{2.35}$$
 (5.5)

In more general case, when both beam emittance and beam current are not negligible, precise value of R_{max} is determined by variation of the value of R_{min} at the middle point between solenoids, $z = z_{o}$, and searching for the smallest value of the beam size at the center of solenoids R_{max} via an exact solution of the envelope equation in drift space between solenoids.



Determination of Lens Parameters and Distances d₁, d₂

After determination of the minimal value of R_{max} , the distances d_1 , d_2 are defined by integration of envelope equation in drift space

$$\frac{d^2R}{dz^2} - \frac{\vartheta^2}{R^3} - \frac{P^2}{R} = 0$$

to establish points where the beam radius evolves from initial value of R_o to R_{max} :

$$z = \frac{R_o^2}{2 \cdot \Im} \int_1^{(\frac{R_{\text{max}}}{R_o})^2} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{\left[1 + (\frac{R_o R_o}{\Im})^2\right]s + (\frac{PR_o}{\Im})^2 s \ln s - 1}} .$$
 (5.7)

In Eq. (5.7), the values of R_o , R'_o correspond to either R_s , R'_s or R_f , R'_f . Envelope equation has the first integral:

$$\left(\frac{dR}{dz}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{dR}{dz}\right)^{2}_{o} + \left(\frac{\Im}{R_{o}}\right)^{2} \left(1 - \frac{R_{o}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) + P^{2}\ln(\frac{R}{R_{o}})^{2}$$

which determines divergence of the beam as a function of initial beam parameters, beam current, and beam emittance. Slopes of beam envelopes at solenoids R'_1 , R'_2 can be found from the first integral of envelope equation in drift space:

$$R' = \sqrt{(R_o')^2 + (\frac{\Im}{R_o})^2 [1 - (\frac{R_o}{R})^2] + \frac{2I}{I_c (\beta \gamma)^3} \ln(\frac{R}{R_o})^2} .$$
(5.8)



The values of R'_{1d} , R'_{2d} are determined by the first integral assuming $R_o = R_{min}$, $R'_o = 0$. Then, focal lengths of solenoids f_1 , f_2 , are determined by the total change in the slope of the beam at each solenoid:

$$f_{1} = \frac{R_{\max}}{|R_{1d}^{'}| + |R_{1}^{'}|}, \qquad f_{2} = \frac{R_{\max}}{|R_{2d}^{'}| + |R_{2}^{'}|}.$$
(5.9)

After that, the magnetic field within each solenoid is determined from thin lens approximation as

$$B_o = \frac{2mc\beta\gamma}{q\sqrt{fD}} \,. \tag{5.10}$$



Minimization of Emittance Growth in Lens due to Spherical Aberrations

The emittance growth due to spherical aberrations is estimated as:

$$\frac{\mathbf{\mathfrak{S}}}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{S}}_o} = \sqrt{1 + K(\frac{C_{\alpha}R^4}{f \mathbf{\mathfrak{S}}_o})^2}$$

where the coefficient K = 0.05...0.5 depends on the beam distribution. Let us restrict the emittance growth due to spherical aberrations to a value of 10%. Assuming that the beam has a waterbag distribution (K = 0.114), the spherical aberration coefficient C_{α} is restricted to be

$$\frac{C_{\alpha}R^4}{f \mathbf{a}_o} < 1.35 \quad \text{or} \quad C_{\alpha} < 1.35 \frac{f \mathbf{a}_o}{R^4}$$

The field distribution within a solenoid is well approximated as

$$B(z) = \frac{B_o}{1 + (\frac{z}{d})^4}$$

where B_o is the maximum field in solenoid, and d is the filed profile characteristic length. The spherical aberration coefficient C_{α} can be expressed in terms of a characteristic parameter d as $C_{\alpha} = \frac{5}{12d^2}$

Then, the characteristic length of field distribution, *d*, has to be larger than





Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Example of Solenoid Design



File prefix: Sol2.POU Plot type: Contour lines Quantity: rAThet

ZGrid: 5.0000E+01 RGrid: 5.0000E+01 Minimum value: 0.0000E+00 Maximum value: 1.2440E-03 9.9520E-05 1.9904E-04 2.9856E-04 3.9808E-04 4.9760E-04 5.9712E-04 6.9664E-04 7.9616E-04 8.9568E-04 9.9520E-04

1.0947E-03 1.1942E-03 Physical length Effective length *D* Aperture radius Coil current density Maximum current density required for cooling 25 cm, 17.63 cm, 5.4 cm, 3.1 Amps/mm²





Solenoid and axial field distribution.



Separation of Beam Components in LEBT



Measured H⁺ transverse phase space at 750 keV for the LANSCE duoplasmatron source. H₂⁺ beam is also observed. Beam current is 15.9 mA. Ratio of $\varepsilon_{total} / \varepsilon_{rms} = 5.7$. Straight lines are used to restrict phase space area where beam emittance is determined.



Bending Magnet for Removing Electrons from H- Beam



The separation angle between two beam components after a dipole bending magnet with bending angle, a, is given by:

$$\Delta \alpha = \alpha (1 - \frac{q_1}{q_2} \frac{p_2}{p_1})$$



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Wien Filter

In a Wien filter, a crossing *E x B* field is used to separate the beams. The primary beam is not deflected because of the balance between the electric and magnetic Lorentz force:

$$\int \vec{F}_{defl} dz = q \int (\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}) dz = 0$$
(32)

The fields in the Wien filter are selected to cancel out for the primary, desired beam but act as a filter for other beam components, where the conditions of Eq. (32) are not met. The separation angle between 2 components after a Wien filter with field $E_{Wien} = \beta_1 c B_{Wien}$ is

$$\Delta \alpha = \frac{E_{Wien} L_{Wien} q_2}{m_2 \gamma_2 (\beta_2 c)^2} (1 - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}) \qquad (33)$$



Wien Filter







Mismatch Effect in Wien Filter



Figure 4: Calculated deflecting forces for on-axis particles (Curve a,b: matched case, c: without shimming).



Figure 2: Horizontal movement of the center particle along the chopper axis z for the locally mismatched case.

The beam can pass the chopper without deflection, if the Wien condition is satisfied along the system:

$$\int \vec{F}_{defl} \, dz = \int q \cdot (\vec{E} + \vec{v}_p \times \vec{B}) \, dz = 0 \quad (1)$$

But a local mismatch between both fields can still lead to a transverse offset. This effect will be minimized by installing shims and shorting tubes at the dipole while the electric deflector will utilize curved plates and shims. The calculated deflecting forces for on-axis particles travelling in longitudinal direction are presented in Fig. 4. Electric and magnetic fields were computed using CST EMS [6].



Figure 7: Drawing of chopper dipole with tilted and curved poles.

Beam Chopping



Pulse structure of LANSCE beam

Time structure of different currents in LINAC (P.Forck, 2011)





LANSCE slow wave transmission line chopper

Injection of Long Pulse into Proton Storage Ring

PSR Layout





Chopper pulse





Increase of Beam Emittance due to Beam Chopping





Effect of SNS Chopper on Beam Emittance

Transverse Emittance and Chopping

| LEBT Chopper | RMS Horizontal, norm. mm*mrad | RMS Vertical, norm mm*mrad |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| On | 0.40 | 0.22 |
| Off | 0.29 | 0.19 |

MEBT Horizontal Emittance (scales are the same)





Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Different Chopping Options for LEBT (C.Plostinar, ESS/AD/0022)

| | CERN | | J-PA | RC | SNS | | RAL |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Chopper Location | LEBT | MEBT | LEBT | MEBT | LEBT | MEBT | MEBT Only |
| Deflector Type | Electro- static | Electro- static | Induction Cavity | RF Cavity | Electro- static | Electro- static | Electro- static |
| Deflector Type Details | Deflecting plate | Meander Stripline | Beam Transformer | TE11 Mode | Einzel Lens | Meander Stripline | Stripline with Coaxial/ Stripline Delay |
| Beam Energy | 45 keV | 3 MeV | ~50 keV | 3 MeV | 65 keV | 2.5 MeV | 3 MeV |
| Beam Pulse Length | 0.4 ms | | 0.5 ms | | 1 ms | | 2 ms |
| Repetition Rate | 50 Hz | | 25 Hz | | 60 Hz | | 50 Hz |
| Bunch Frequency | - | 352.2 MHz | - | 324 MHz | - | 402.5 MHz | 324 MHz |
| Rise Time | 2 µs | 2 ns | <50 ns | 10 ns | <50 ns | 10 ns | 2 ns |
| Bunch by bunch chopping | - | Yes | - | No | - | No | Yes |
| Deflector Length | 10 cm | 2*40 cm | 10 cm | 17.2 cm | 2.7 cm | 2* 35 cm | 2* 45 cm |
| Deflecting Voltage/Field | < 20 kV | +/- 600 V | +/-2.5 kV | 1.6 MV/m | +/- 3 kV | 2.5 kV | +/- 1.5 kV |

Table 1. LEBT and MEBT chopper parameters at CERN, J-PARC, RAL and SNS.

As mentioned above, because of the rise and fall times of the chopper voltage in the LEBT (tens of ns), the beam will contain partially chopped bunches. These bunches have a trajectory which is to some extent rather uncertain and are likely to be lost along the linac. To mitigate this effect, CERN, J-PARC and SNS combine the "slow" chopper in the LEBT with a fast MEBT chopper.



A Two Stage Fast Beam Chopper For Next Generation High Power Proton Drivers (Michael A. Clarke-Gayther, STFC RAL, Didcot UK)



The upstream field is generated by a pair of AC coupled 'fast' transition time pulse generators (FPG) that output high voltage, dual polarity pulses into a 'slow-wave' transmission line electrode structure [2], where partial chopping of beam bunches is avoided by ensuring that the deflecting E-field propagates at the beam velocity. The



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021
A Two Stage Fast Beam Chopper For Next Generation High Power Proton Drivers (Michael A. Clarke-Gayther, STFC RAL, Didcot UK)













Figure 7: No chopping / Bunch 67-158 un-chopped.



CERN LEBT Pre-Chopper





FNAL Einzel Lens Chopper



J-PARC RF Deflector



| frequency | 324 MHz |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| Q | ~10 |
| Cavity rise time | 10ns |
| Power amplifier | Solid state, 36kW |
| Amplifier rise time | 15ns |
| Max field | 1.6MV/m |
| Gap length | 20mm |



Beam Matching in LEBT



Top view of 750 - keV Low Energy Beam Transports (LEBT) of H⁺ and H⁻ beams.



750 keV LANL Injector of H+ / H-Beams





Matching of the H⁻ Beam with 750 keV Low Energy Beam Transport





Matching of the H⁺ Beam with 750 keV Low Energy Beam Transport





Emittance Scans for Beam Matching





Beam Matching Using Envelope Code

Mismatch factor between expected and actual beam

$$F = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(R + \sqrt{R^2 - 4})} - 1$$

$$R = \beta_{\rm exp} \gamma_s + \beta_s \gamma_{\rm exp} - 2\alpha_{\rm exp} \alpha_s$$

Average mismatch

$$\overline{F} = \frac{1}{2}(F_x + F_y)$$





Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Use of Emittance Measurements

- Measure the beam Emittance and Twiss Parameters at one point.
- Using a simulation code we can then predict the trajectory of the beam envelope through a region to a point where the beam cannot be measured.



Beam Matching at the Entrance of LANL DTL



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Medium-Energy Beam Transports

1. Match and steer the beam from the RFQ into the Drift Tube Linac

2. Perform beam diagnostics with comprehensive set of beam instrumentation devices

- 3. Perform collimation of the transverse particle distribution
- 4. Perform additional beam chopping LEBT chopper



ESS medium energy beam transport layout, containing 10 quadrupoles, 3 bunchers, and 3 collimators (ESS Design Report). Root



MEBT Collimator Scrappers





Medium-Energy Beam Transports

MEBT Chopper

SNS has two stage chopping system: LEBT chopper before RFQ: slow - rise time about 50 ns •MEBT chopper (2.5 MeV): rise time is 15 ns, and it cleans the gap once again



The original faster MEBT chopper (analog of Los Alamos PSR system) was damaged two times and was replaced.

We have a lot of partially chopped beam:

6 - 4% bunches have less than 50% of max charge.

MEBT chopper fronts cleaning

No big effect on linac losses, but it improves extraction losses in the ring

8/20 Managed by UT-Battelle for the Department of Energy

A. Shishlo (PAC2011).



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Bears Dynamics Issues in the SNS Linux

High Energy Beam Transports



LANSCE High Energy Beam Lines



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

High Energy Beam Transports



ESS beam size envelopes along the high energy beam transport



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Emittance Measurement in a Dispersion Free Region





Beam Based Alignment



Displacements of beam centroid, quadrupole, and BPM.



Beam Based Alignment

Beam centroid displacement after transport through *k* elements [C.E.Adolpsen et al, SLAC-PUB-4902 (1989).]

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{k} \\ x'_{k} \\ y_{k} \\ y'_{k} \\ z_{k} \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = R_{o,k} \begin{pmatrix} x_{o} \\ x'_{o} \\ y_{o} \\ y'_{o} \\ z_{o} \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (R_{j+1,k} - R_{j,k}) \begin{pmatrix} dx_{j} \\ 0 \\ dy_{j} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $R_{j,k}$ is the transfer matrix from element *j* to element *k*, and dx_j , dy_j are the offsets of element *j*. BPM and WS measurement of beam displacement are:

$$\Delta x_k = x_k - bx_k, \qquad \Delta y_k = y_k - by_k$$

where bx_k , by_k are the displacement of BPM or WS. Taking different quadrupole settings with BMP and WS measurements equal to number of elements, it is possible to determine offset of each element through solution of system of linear algebraic equations and then apply appropriate kick from steering magnet.



Beam Position Monitors and Steering Magnets

BPM: Collection of 4 electrodes (top, bottom, left, and right) Electrodes pick up signal as the beam passes Comparing signal of opposing electrodes yields the beam centroid position









Steering magnet:

Collection of 2

beam alignment

Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Injection of H⁻ Beam into Proton Storage Ring (PSR)





Foil Degradation





Emittance Blow-Up Due To Thin Windows



Emittance growth due to scattering (P.J. Bryant):

$$\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \langle \theta_s^2 \rangle$$

Root mean square projected angle θ_s due to multiple Coulomb scattering in a window

$$\sqrt{\langle \theta_{\rm s}^2 \rangle} = \frac{0.0141}{\beta_{\rm c} p [{\rm MeV}/{\rm c}]} Z_{\rm inc} \sqrt{\frac{L}{L_{\rm rad}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{9} \log_{10} \frac{L}{L_{\rm rad}} \right) \text{ [radian]}$$

where Z_{inc} is particle charge in units of electron charge, *p* is the particle momentum in MeV/c, $\beta_c = v/c$, *L* is thickness of scatter and L_{rad} is radiation length of material of the scatter.



Combination of 4 Quadrupoles as an Axial-Symmetric Lens (Russian Quadruplet)



Combination of two identical Quadrupole Doublets:

- Order of quadrupoles
 in second doublet
 must be reversed with
 respect to first
 quadruplet
- Fields in similar quadrupoles are shifted by 90°

(A. Dymnikov, S. Yavor,1963)



Uniform Irradiation of Large Targets



Beam intensity redistribution in the channel with higher order multipoles. Upper part illustrates particle distributions at the beginning (left) and at the end (right) of the transport channel, lower part shows the phase-space projections of the beam.



Initial and Final Beam Distributions in Nonlinear Expander



Fig. 1. Projections of computer simulation using code BEAMPATH [7] into real space (x-y) for an initial (upper) and final (lower) beam distribution in a nonlinear optics channel. Consider the one-dimensional problem for a beam of particles with charge q and mass m propagating along the z-axis with a longitudinal velocity $v_z = \beta_z c$. Suppose that a particle at z = 0 has the velocity modulation:

$$v_x = v_{x0} + a_2 x_0 + a_3 x_0^2 + a_4 x_0^3 + \dots + a_n x_0^{n-1}, \qquad (1)$$

where v_{x0} and x_0 are the initial transverse velocity and position of the particle, a_2 is a linear modulation coefficient, and a_3 , a_4 ,... are nonlinear modulation coefficients. After a drift of the beam the x-coordinate of the particle at any z is

$$x = x_0 + \frac{z}{v_z} \left(v_{x0} + a_2 x_0 + a_3 x_0^2 + a_4 x_0^3 + \cdots \right).$$
 (2)

The number of particles dN inside the element (x, x + dx) is invariable, hence the particle density $\rho(x) = dN/dx$ at any z is connected with the initial density $\rho(x_0)$ by

$$\rho(x) = \rho(x_0) \frac{\mathrm{d}x_0}{\mathrm{d}x} \tag{3}$$

or

$$\rho(x) = \rho(x_0) \left(1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 x_0 + 3\alpha_4 x_0^2 + 4\alpha_5 x_0^3 + \cdots (n-1)\alpha_n x_0^{n-2} \right)^{-1}, \qquad (4)$$

where $\alpha_n = a_n z/v_z$. From eq. (4) it follows that redistribution of the particle density can be obtained using the nonlinear velocity modulation coefficients α_3 , α_4 , $\alpha_5 \cdots$ while the linear modulation coefficient α_2 only scales the density.



1

Experimental Observation of Beam Profile Uniforming



Observed beam profile at LANL beam expander experiment (1997).

Theoretical calculations (top graph) and the experimental results (bottom graph) of the vertical beam profiles at the target location of the REF facility, with octupoles on and off.

REF (Radiation Effects Facility, 200 MeV H-BNL Linac)-----, 99



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Beam Uniforming in Free Space



Redistribution of Gaussian beam in drift space.



Beam Uniforming in Free Space

Redistribution of the Gaussian beam under self space charge forces:

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_o \exp\left(-2\xi_o^2\right)}{a_o + a_1F + a_2F^2 + a_3F^3 + a_4F^4 + a_5F^5 + a_6F^6}, \qquad (3.42)$$

where:

$$\xi_{o} = \frac{r_{o}}{R_{o}}, \qquad F = \sqrt{\frac{1 - exp(-2\xi_{o}^{2})}{\xi_{o}^{2}}} \qquad \eta = \frac{4I}{I_{c}(\beta\gamma)^{3}}(\frac{z}{R_{o}})^{2} \qquad (3.43)$$

$$a_o = 1 + \eta \, exp(-2\xi_o^2),$$
 (3.45)

$$a_1 = -0.102 \,\eta^{3/2} \, exp(-2\xi_o^2),$$
 (3.46)

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{4} \eta^2 \exp(-2\xi_o^2) , \qquad (3.47)$$

$$a_3 = 0.017 \,\eta^{3/2} - 0.0425 \,\eta^{5/2} \, exp(-2\xi_o^2)$$
 (3.48)

$$a_4 = 1.734 \cdot 10^{-3} \ \eta^3 exp\left(-2\xi_o^2\right) - \frac{1}{16} \ \eta^2 \ , \tag{3.49}$$

$$a_5 = 0.01275 \,\eta^{5/2}$$
, (3.50)

$$a_6 = -5.78 \cdot 10^{-4} \eta^3 . \tag{3.51}$$

The beam with initial Gaussian distribution becomes more uniform when the parameter η is close to 4.



Circular Irradiation of Beam Targets



Circular irradiation of LANSCE Isotope Production Target by 100 MeV proton beam with simple one-circle raster



Circular Beam Sweeping

(7)

For uniform irradiation of a large target let us change the radius of the beam trajectory slowly in such a way that the increase of the area dS irradiated by the beam per one revolution is a constant:

$$dS = 2\pi r(t) dr(t) = const.$$

Eq. (7) is a condition of uniform irradiation of a target in the radial direction while the equation $\omega = \text{const}$ is a condition of a uniform irradiation in the azimuth direction. Having combined Eq. (7) with the equation of rotation $d\varphi = \omega dt$ the radius of the beam trajectory at the target is given by

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{d}S\omega t}{\mathrm{d}\varphi\pi}} \,. \tag{8}$$

The value $dS/d\varphi$ can be defined from the condition that after N revolutions (i.e. during the period of irradiation $T = 2\pi N/\omega$) the beam radius reaches its maximum value r = R which is equal to the radius of the target:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}\varphi} = \frac{R^2}{2N}.\tag{9}$$

Finally the equation for increasing the beam radius with time for a uniform irradiation of a target is

$$r = R\sqrt{\frac{t}{T}} = R\sqrt{\frac{\omega t}{2\pi N}}.$$
 (10)

Eq. (10) describes the untwisted spiral trajectory of the beam which starts at the center of a target (see Fig. 1). The Cartesian coordinates of the particles are changing according to

$$x = R\sqrt{\frac{t}{T}}\sin \omega t; \quad y = R\sqrt{\frac{t}{T}}\cos \omega t.$$
 (11)



Y. Batygin - USPAS 2021

Circular Uniform Irradiation of Beam Targets



Experimental verification of uniform target irradiation by 100 MeV proton beam at LANSCE Isotope Production Facility (J.Kolski et al, TUPWI028, IPAC15).

