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## What is Shear Viscosity? - Intuitively


$\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM
strong $g$, large $N$


$$
\frac{\eta}{s}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}
$$

## What is Shear Viscosity? - Intuitively



## What is shear viscosity? - Theoretically

Low energy constant in the hydrodynamic description of an underlying theory
The underlying theory of molecule dynamics


The hydrodynamic description of this system via $\vec{u}$ (velocity field)
Navier-Stokes Equation: $\rho \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t}+\rho(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u}=\rho \vec{a}-\nabla p+\eta \nabla^{2} \vec{u}$
( $\rho$ : mass density, ä: external force, $p$ : pressure)
Shear viscosity $\eta$ is obtained from $(\vec{r}(t), \vec{v}(t))$ by

$$
C(k, t)=\langle u(k, t) u(k, 0)\rangle \sim e^{-\frac{\eta k^{2}}{\rho} t} \quad \text { with } \quad u(k, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \vec{v}^{j}(t) e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}^{j}(t)}
$$

## Shear Viscosity from Molecule Dynamics ${ }^{1}$

(1) Prepare a box (volume $L^{3}$ ) of particles in thermal equilibrium $|F(r)|=e^{-2 r}$, repulsive force
(2) Measure transverse velocity field $\vec{u}(\vec{k}, 0)$
(3) Time-evolve the system via molecular dynamics simulation
(9) Measure $\vec{u}(\vec{k}, t)$ and compute $\vec{u}(\vec{k}, t) \vec{u}(\vec{k}, 0)$

$$
C(k, t)=\langle\vec{u}(\vec{k}, t) \vec{u}(\vec{k}, 0)\rangle \sim e^{-\frac{\eta k^{2}}{\rho} t} \quad(\rho: \text { mass density })
$$



[^0]
## Roadmap



## Shear Viscosity of QFT

Non-relativistic gas of particles

$\left(\vec{r}_{i}, \vec{v}_{i}\right)$ for N particles

$$
\vec{F}=m \vec{a}
$$

measure $u$

QCD on a lattice

$\psi_{i}$ on each site, $U_{i j}$ on each link $S_{Q C D}$ measure $T_{01}$

From $T_{01}$ correlator:

$$
\int_{V} d \vec{x} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}\langle\phi(\beta)|\left[T_{01}(t, \vec{x}), T_{01}(0,0)\right]|\phi(\beta)\rangle \sim e^{-\frac{\eta(\beta) k^{2}}{\epsilon} t}
$$

( $\epsilon$ : energy density)
From $T_{12}$ correlator:

$$
\eta(\beta)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{V} d x \int_{0}^{\infty} d t\langle\phi(\beta)|\left[T_{12}(x, t), T_{12}(0,0)\right]|\phi(\beta)\rangle
$$

## Sign Problem

Goal: compute $\langle\phi(\beta)|\left[T_{\mu \nu}(t, \vec{x}), T_{\mu \nu}(0,0)\right]|\phi(\beta)\rangle$
Method: Non-perturbative calculation on a lattice

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}(t, \vec{x})\rangle=\frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}[\psi, U] e^{-S} \mathcal{O}(t, \vec{x})
$$



The action $S$ is complex $\rightarrow$ define "quenched distribution" $e^{-\operatorname{Re} S}$

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}(t, \vec{x})\rangle=\frac{\frac{\int \mathcal{D}[\psi, A] e^{-\operatorname{Re} S} e^{-i l m} S}{} \mathcal{O}\left(t, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}[\psi, A] e^{-\operatorname{Re} S}\right.}{\frac{\int \mathcal{D}[\psi, A] e^{-\operatorname{Re} S} e^{-i \ln S}}{\int \mathcal{D}[\psi, A] e^{-\operatorname{Re} S}}}=\frac{\left\langle e^{-i \operatorname{lm} S} \mathcal{O}\right\rangle_{e^{-\operatorname{Re} S}}}{\left\langle e^{-i \operatorname{Im} S}\right\rangle_{e^{-\operatorname{Re} S}}}
$$

Especially the "average sign" is challenging:

$$
\langle\sigma\rangle=\left\langle e^{-i \operatorname{lm} S}\right\rangle_{e^{-\operatorname{Re} S}} \propto a^{V}, \quad a \leq 1
$$



## Trivializing Map²

Some distribution


## Simple Gaussian distribution



Trivializing map:

$$
d y e^{-S(y)}=d x \frac{d y(x)}{d x} e^{-S(y(x))}=d x \mathcal{N} e^{-x^{2} / 2}
$$

Expectation values:

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle=\frac{\int d y e^{-S(y)} \mathcal{O}(y)}{\int d y e^{-S(y)}}=\frac{\int d x e^{-x^{2} / 2} \mathcal{O}(y(x))}{\int d x e^{-x^{2} / 2}}
$$

${ }^{2}$ M. Albergo et.el. Phys. Rev. D 100, 034515(2019)
K. A. Nicoli, et.el. Phys. Rev. E 101, 023304(2020)

## Complex Trivializing Map

Application to complex actions:

$$
d x \mathcal{N} e^{-x^{2} / 2}=d x \frac{d y(x)}{d x} e^{-S(y(x))}=d y e^{-S(y)}
$$




Expectation values:

$$
\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} d x e^{-x^{2} / 2} \mathcal{O}(y(x))}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} d x e^{-x^{2} / 2}}=\frac{\int_{y(\mathbb{R})} d y e^{-S(y)} \mathcal{O}(y)}{\int_{y(\mathbb{R})} d y e^{-S(y)}} \stackrel{?}{=}\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle
$$

Contour of integration changes!

## Constraints on Trivializing Map ${ }^{3}$

Trivializing maps give the correct $\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle$

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} d y e^{-S(y)} \mathcal{O}(y)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} d y e^{-S(y)}}=\frac{\int_{y(\mathbb{R})} d y e^{-S(y)} \mathcal{O}(y)}{\int_{y(\mathbb{R})} d y e^{-S(y)}}
$$

when:

- The induced contour (-) is a continuous manifold
- The induced contour (-) is in "asymptotically safe" region
- Both $e^{-S(y)}$ and $e^{-S(y)} \mathcal{O}(y)$ are holomorphic functions in the region between (-) and (-)
$\rightarrow$ Cauchy's integral theorem!
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## Trivializing Map and the Generalized Thimble Method

 $\mathcal{M}$ is of exactly the sort used in the generalized thimble method
"Average sign" on the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$
\langle\sigma\rangle=\frac{\int_{\mathcal{M}} d y e^{-S(y)}}{\int_{\mathcal{M}} d y e^{-\operatorname{Re} S(y)}}=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} d x e^{-x^{2} / 2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} d x e^{-x^{2} / 2}}=1
$$

So the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ has no sign problems.

## Trivializing maps exist $\leftrightarrow$ Perfect manifolds exist

Do they exist? If so, can we find them? If so can use them?

## Existence of Trivializing Maps

Type of action: action $S$ which is finite except at infinity
When with NO sign problems
Fact: Trivialiaing maps exist. $\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

## Conjecture:

Trivializing maps are analytic functions of the parameters of the action.
Example: Scalar field theory $S(y ; M, \Lambda)=y_{i} M_{i j} y_{j}+\lambda \Lambda_{i} y_{i}^{4}$ The map:

$$
\frac{d y(x)}{d x} e^{-S(y(x))}=\mathcal{N} e^{-x^{2} / 2}
$$

Perturbative map in weak $\lambda$ :

$$
y_{i}(x ; M, \Lambda)=x_{i}-\lambda\left(\sum_{j} \frac{1}{2} M_{i j}^{-1} \Lambda_{j} x_{j}^{3}+\frac{3}{4} M_{i j}^{-1} M_{j j}^{-1} \Lambda_{j} x_{j}\right)
$$

(analytic in $M, \wedge$ except at $M=0$ )
Perturbative map in strong $\lambda$ is analytic in $M, \Lambda$ except at $\Lambda=0$

## Existence of Perfect Manifolds ${ }^{4}$

## Conjecture:

Trivializing maps are analytic functions of the parameters of the action, when $M, \wedge \in \mathcal{R}$.

Conjecture implies:
Perfect manifolds exists for $M, \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$

## Caveat:

When manifolds intersect with singularity of $S$,
Trivializing maps are not guaranteed to exist


For actions without singularities at finite field values, perfect manifolds exist with $M, \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$

Great, but can we find them? If so can use them?
${ }^{4}$ S. Lawrence and YY, arXiv:2101.05755

## Example with $\phi^{4}$ Scalar Field Theory

To estimate $\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_{S}$ for the action $S$, let us define $S^{\prime}=S+\alpha \mathcal{O}$.
A perturbing map $\vec{y}(x)$ from $S^{\prime}(x+\alpha \vec{y}(x))$ to $S(x)$ satisfies

$$
\nabla \cdot \vec{y}(x)-\vec{y}(x) \cdot \nabla S(x)-\mathcal{O}(x)+\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_{S}=0
$$

Solve the ODE for $\vec{y}(x)$ and $\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_{S}$ via machine learning:

$$
C\left(w,\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_{S}\right)=\sum_{x}\left|\nabla \cdot \vec{y}_{w}(x)-\vec{y}_{w}(x) \cdot \nabla S(x)-\mathcal{O}(x)+\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_{S}\right|^{2}
$$



$$
0+1 \mathrm{~d}, m=0.5, N_{\beta}=10, N_{T}=0
$$


$0+1 \mathrm{~d}, m=0.5, \lambda=0.5, N_{\beta}=2$

## Anywhere without Sign Problems...?



## Quantum Computing - No Sign Problem


$(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$

$(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$

$(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$

$$
C(k, t)=\langle\vec{u}(\vec{k}, t) \vec{u}(\vec{k}, 0)\rangle \sim e^{-\frac{\eta k^{2}}{\rho} t}
$$

Quantum computer can simulate quantum system naturally


## Operator $T_{\mu \nu}$ for Gauge Theories

Hamiltonian:


$$
\hat{H}_{\mathrm{KS}}=\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{2 a} \sum_{n, i} \hat{\pi}_{i}(n)^{2}-\frac{1}{2 g^{2} a} \sum_{n, i<j} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{P}_{i j}(n)\right]
$$

Action:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\mathrm{W}}=\frac{a}{g^{2} a_{0}} \sum_{n, t, i} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}\left[1-P_{0 i}(n, t)\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{a 0}{g^{2} a} \sum_{n, t, i<j} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}\left[1-P_{i j}(n, t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hamiltonian and Action are connected by the Trotterization ${ }^{5}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-i H_{K S} t}\right]=\int \mathcal{D}[\vec{U}] e^{i S_{\mathrm{W}}[U]}
$$

We can derive $T_{\mu \nu}$ operators by adding $T_{\mu \nu}$ at $t=t_{0}$

$$
\int \mathcal{D}[\vec{U}] e^{i\left(S_{\mathrm{W}}+\epsilon T_{\mu \nu} \delta\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-i H^{\prime} t}\right], \text { with } \hat{H}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=H_{K S}-\epsilon \hat{T}_{\mu \nu} / a_{0}
$$

[^2]$\hat{T}_{12}$ for $S U(3)$ LGT - Action to Hamiltonian
$$
T_{12}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[-F_{10} F_{20}+F_{13} F_{23}\right] \text { (in the continuum) }
$$

1. $F_{\mu \nu}$ on a lattice in the action formulation:

$$
F_{\mu v}(n) \sim A(\underset{n}{\circlearrowleft}-\underset{n}{\bigcup})^{v} \hookrightarrow_{\square}
$$

2. Let us add $T_{12}$ at site $n$ to the action:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime} & =S_{\mathrm{W}}+\epsilon\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[-F_{10}(n) F_{20}(n)+F_{13}(n) F_{23}(n)\right]\right) \\
& \left(\circlearrowleft_{x}^{t}-\circlearrowleft\right) \times\left(\circlearrowleft_{y}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The relation between $S^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ tells us:

$$
H^{\prime}=H_{K S}+\epsilon\left(\frac{g^{2}}{a^{4} a_{0}} \hat{\pi}_{1}^{a} \hat{\pi}_{2}^{a}+\frac{1}{4 g^{2} a^{4} a_{0}}\left(\hat{P}_{13}-\hat{P}_{13}^{\dagger}\right)\left(\hat{P}_{23}-\hat{P}_{23}^{\dagger}\right)\right)(n)
$$

3. Read off $\hat{T}_{12}$

$$
\hat{T}_{12}=-\frac{g^{2}}{a^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\pi}_{1}(n) \hat{\pi}_{2}(n)\right]-\frac{1}{4 g^{2} a^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\hat{P}_{13}-\hat{P}_{13}^{\dagger}\right)\left(\hat{P}_{23}-\hat{P}_{23}^{\dagger}\right)\right](n)
$$

## $T_{\mu \nu}$ operators up to $O\left(a^{2}\right)^{6}$

All operators needed for $T_{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu \nu} \operatorname{Tr}\left[F_{\rho \sigma} F_{\rho \sigma}\right]-\operatorname{Tr}\left[F_{\mu \alpha} F_{\nu \alpha}\right]$

| Operator | $O(a)$ | $O\left(a^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Tr} F_{0 i} F_{0 i}(n)$ | $\frac{g^{2}}{a^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\pi_{n, i}^{2}\right]$ | $\sum_{x=0,1} \frac{g^{2}}{2 a^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\pi_{n-x \hat{i}, i}^{2}\right]$ |
| $\operatorname{Tr} F_{0 i} F_{0 j}(n)$ | $\frac{g^{2}}{a^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\pi_{n, i} \pi_{n, j}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{g^{2}}{4 a^{4}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n, i} \hat{\pi}_{n, j}\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n, i} \hat{U}_{n-\hat{j}, j}^{\dagger} \hat{\pi}_{n-\hat{j}, j} \hat{U}_{n-\hat{j}, j}\right]\right. \\ +\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{U}_{n-\hat{i}, i}^{\dagger} \hat{\pi}_{n-\hat{i}} \hat{U}_{n-\hat{i}, i} \hat{\pi}_{n, j}\right] \\ \left.+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{U}_{n-\hat{i}, i}^{\dagger} \hat{\pi}_{n-\hat{i}, i} \hat{U}_{n-\hat{i}, i} \hat{U}_{n-\hat{j}, j}^{\dagger} \hat{\pi}_{n-\hat{j}, j} \hat{U}_{n-\hat{j}, j}\right]\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\operatorname{Tr} F_{0 j} F_{i j}(n)$ | $-\frac{1}{a^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n, j} \operatorname{Im} \hat{P}_{i j}(n)\right]$ | $-\frac{1}{22^{4}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n, j} \operatorname{Im} \hat{C}_{i j}(n)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{U}_{n-\hat{j}, j}^{\dagger} \hat{\pi}_{n-\hat{j}, j} \hat{U}_{n-\hat{j}, j} \operatorname{Im} \hat{C}_{i j}(n)\right]\right)$ |
| $\operatorname{Tr} F_{i j} F_{i j}(n)$ | $\frac{2}{g^{2} a^{4}} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}\left[1-\hat{P}_{i j}(n)\right]$ | $\sum_{x=0,1} \sum_{y=0,1} \frac{1}{2 g^{2} a^{4}} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}\left[1-\hat{P}_{i j}(n-x \hat{i}-y \hat{j})\right]$ |
| $\operatorname{Tr} F_{i j} F_{k j}(n)$ | $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{F}_{i j}^{N}(n) \hat{F}_{k j}^{N}(n)\right]$ | $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{F}_{i j}^{C}(n) \hat{F}_{k j}^{C}(n)\right]$ |

$O\left(a^{2}\right)$ operators are important especially in the near term How do we implement them using primitive gates?

## Shear Viscosity of Ising Model ${ }^{7}$

Shear viscosity of Ising model in $2+1 \mathrm{~d}$ via $T_{00}$ correlators:

$$
\left\langle T^{00} T^{00}\right\rangle(\omega, k)=\frac{k^{2}(\epsilon+P)}{\omega^{2}-c_{s}^{2} k^{2}+i \omega k^{2} \gamma_{s}} \text { with } \gamma_{s}=\frac{4 \eta}{3(\epsilon+P)}+\frac{\zeta}{\epsilon+P}
$$

( $\epsilon$ : energy density, $P$ : pressure, $c_{s}$ : speed of sound, $\zeta$ : bulk viscosity)
Model: $\quad H=-\sum_{i, j} \sigma_{z}(i) \sigma_{z}(j)-\mu \sum_{i} \sigma_{x}(i)$


Time extent: 100 $d t=0.001$ for $5 \times 3$, $d t=0.001$ for $6 \times 3$, $d t=0.005$ for $7 \times 3$,
$\mu=1.1, \beta=1$
$k=\frac{\pi}{L_{x}}$

## Taking Limits

Whether classical or quantum, after lattice calculations,

## limits need to be taken



AND


## Finite volume effects on shear viscosity

For example... Molecule dynamics again:

$$
C(k, t)=\langle\vec{u}(\vec{k}, t) \vec{u}(\vec{k}, 0)\rangle \sim e^{-\frac{\eta k^{2}}{\rho} t} \quad(\rho: \text { mass density })
$$




Finite volume effects exist and are not small for this system

## Shear Viscosity of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

$G^{\mu \nu, \mu \nu}(\omega, k)$ : correlator of $T_{\mu \nu}$ in momentum space
To extract viscosity, need $G^{\mu \nu, \mu \nu}(\omega, k)$ for small $(\omega, k)^{8}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{x y}: G^{12,12}(\omega, k)=-i \eta \omega+O\left(\omega^{2}, k^{2}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \eta=i \lim _{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial G^{12,12}(\omega, k=0)}{\partial \omega} \\
& \mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM} \rightarrow G^{12,12}(\omega, k)=-\frac{i s}{4 \pi} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=4 \text { SYM } \\
& \text { Stroung coupling } \\
& \text { \& large } N
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{t x}: G^{01,01}(\omega, k)=\frac{k^{2} \eta}{i \omega-\frac{\eta}{\epsilon+p} k^{2}} \\
& \mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM} \\
& \rightarrow G^{01,01}(\omega, k)=\frac{N^{2} \pi T^{3} k^{2}\left(1+O\left(\omega, k^{2}\right)\right)}{8\left(i \omega-\frac{k^{2}}{4 \pi T}+O\left(\omega^{2}, \omega \kappa^{2}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}, \frac{\eta}{s}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}$
${ }^{8}$ G. Policastro et al., Phys.Rev.Lett 87(2001)081601

## Shear Viscosity in a Finite-size Box ${ }^{9}$

Finite-size box $\rightarrow$ smallest $k$ may not be zero

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G^{12,12}(\omega, k)=s\left(-\frac{i}{4 \pi} \omega-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} T} k^{2}+\frac{i \ln (2)}{8 \pi^{3} T^{2}} \omega k^{2}+O\left(\omega^{2}, \omega^{2} k^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \rightarrow \frac{\eta}{s}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}-\frac{\ln (2)}{8 \pi T^{2} L^{2}} \quad\left(k \sim \frac{\pi}{L}\right) \\
& G^{01,01}(\omega, k)=\frac{N^{2} \pi T^{3}}{8} \frac{k^{2}+O\left(\omega k^{2}, k^{4}\right)}{i \omega\left(1+\frac{\log 2}{16 \pi^{2} T^{2}} k^{2}\right)-\frac{k^{2}}{4 \pi T}\left(1-\frac{k^{2}}{8 \pi^{2} T^{2}}\right)} \\
& \rightarrow \frac{\eta}{s}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{1-\frac{1}{8 T^{2} L^{2}}}{1+\frac{\ln (2)}{16 T^{2} L^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{9} \mathrm{~T}$. Cohen, S. Lawrence, and YY , in preparation

## Future Work



## Thank you!

## Thermal State Preparation - Naive Idea ${ }^{10}$

Goal: Prepare a state with temperature around crossover
Fact: High energy physical states are easily prepared
Tool: Quantum refrigerator
Set Pump to ground state


$$
E_{i}>E_{1}>E_{2}>\cdots>E_{N}>E_{f}
$$

Start from hot gas of free gluons $\rightarrow$ Lower $E$ via "active cooling" $\rightarrow$ thermalize

- Don't need a large heat bath $\rightarrow$ Save qubit costs!
- The number of cycle $\propto \log \left(\frac{E_{i}}{E_{f}}\right)$
${ }^{10}$ R. Kosloff and A. Levy, Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 65, 365 (2014)
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